

I

問 1

(1) 4

(2) 1

(3) 3

(4) 3

(5) 1

問 2

(1) 4

(2) 4

(3) 1

問 3

(1) 3

(2) 2

Ⅱ

問 1

- (1) 2
- (2) 4
- (3) 1
- (4) 1
- (5) 2

問 2

1

問 3

- (1) 4
- (2) 1
- (3) 4
- (4) 1
- (5) 2
- (6) 4
- (7) 4

Ⅲ

問 1

(1) 4

(2) 2

(3) 1

(4) 3

問 2

3

問 3

(1) 1

(2) 3

(3) 2

(4) 4

(5) 1

IV

問 1

(1) 1

(2) 2

(3) 4

(4) 3

(5) 1

問 2

(1) 2

(2) 2

(3) 4

(4) 1

(5) 3

I disagree with the idea of animal-tested cosmetics. I love animals and don't want them to be used as if they were mere objects. It is human arrogance to think it all right that animals suffer if that saves humans. They have feelings just as we humans do and even have the right to be treated in morally appropriate ways. No one would let anyone use their children for such testing. The same should be also applied to animals.

If I take one example of photographic images of laboratory animals suffering tests, they often develop severe skin reactions, such as skin inflammation and hair loss, due to the samples used on them. Thus, I strongly disagree with the idea of animal-tested cosmetics.

(122 words)

I think animal-testing shouldn't be banned. People tend to look at only the negative side of it, but the cosmetics industry has such a big market worldwide that I think it is understandable that cosmetics makers need to test their products for the sake of customers' safety.

I know it is not ideal that some animals suffer the testing, but it is not realistic or practical to think cosmetics should be sold without making sure they are absolutely safe for consumers. If there were some alternative ways to test cosmetics without having to use animals, that would be perfect. But at least until such methods are invented, animal testing for cosmetics shouldn't be questioned.

(113 words)